

# Terra Vita Hub: Governance Integrity & Transparency

A concise public summary for institutional reviewers, auditors, funders, and governance teams assessing how Terra Vita Hub protects decision integrity before protected diligence.

<b>Purpose</b> Show what can be tested publicly, what remains protected, and where accountability sits.	<b>Audience</b> Ministries, DFIs, donors, auditors, programme owners, and governance teams.	<b>Position</b> No black boxes. No extraction. No opacity. Evidence must be attributable and decisions auditable.
--	--	--

## Why transparency matters

<b>Reduces audit risk</b>	Evidence, reviewer actions, and export posture remain connected.
<b>Strengthens funding readiness</b>	Conditional gaps remain visible before finance-ready treatment.
<b>Protects reviewers</b>	Identity, rationale, and escalation history are preserved.
<b>Prevents capture</b>	Escalation and role separation reduce single-point manipulation.
<b>Increases donor confidence</b>	Funders can see readiness without controlling the record.

## Five-step governance spine

<b>1. Evidence</b> A source record enters with owner, date, module, and status.	<b>2. Calibration</b> Signals are interpreted against context and evidence quality.	<b>3. Reviewer</b> A named reviewer records conditions, gaps, and readiness posture.	<b>4. Escalation</b> Exceptions, disputes, or overrides move to the correct authority route.	<b>5. Export posture</b> The pack becomes conditional, committee-ready, export-ready, or blocked.
--	--	---	---	--

## What the Hub does not replace

National policy authority; human authorization; financial decision-making; programme implementation; approved MRV methodologies or independent verification.

## Manipulation safeguards

Overrides are logged	Actor identity, reason, authority basis, timestamp, and downstream effect remain visible.
Reviewer identity is preserved	Notes, evidence gaps, conditions, and readiness judgements remain attributable.
Escalation prevents capture	Conditional, disputed, blocked, or high-risk items move to committee or oversight routes.
Evidence is not silently rewritten	Corrections preserve original records, correction reasons, and responsible actors.

## Public proof objects

Redacted committee pack; redacted routing example; redacted MRV-linked export; redacted audit log snippet; redacted eligibility decision.

## Who owns what

Actor	Owns	Does not own
Terra Vita	Governance infrastructure, workflow configuration, evidence routing tools, export structure, support layer.	Public authority, programme mandate, funder decisions, statutory approvals.
Institution / programme owner	Mandate, policy context, approvals, authorised users, disclosure rules, operating decisions.	Independent reviewer judgement unless formally assigned.
Reviewers	Notes, conditions, evidence-gap judgements, escalation recommendations, review status.	Final authority unless explicitly delegated.
Funders	Visibility into agreed evidence packs, milestone posture, and reporting outputs.	Silent alteration of evidence, reviewer notes, or institutional approval rules.

## Commercial transparency

Institutions pay for governance environment setup, configuration, onboarding, role design, evidence routing, reporting surfaces, support, and controlled export infrastructure. They do not pay for black-box decisions, ownership of public authority, exclusive control over programme data, or hidden beneficiary-level value capture.

**Transparency philosophy:** Governance must be visible. Evidence must be attributable. Decisions must be auditable. MRV must be linked to evidence. Funding must be gated by governance.